United States District Court Southern District of Texas

## **ENTERED**

September 30, 2021
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

JILLIAN OSTREWICH, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

S

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:19-ev-00715

S

TENESHIA HUDSPETH, et al.,

Defendants.

## ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

On April 17, 2021, the parties' competing motions for summary judgment (Dkts. 74, 76) were referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew M. Edison under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Dkt. 111. Judge Edison filed a Memorandum and Recommendation on September 14, 2021, recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 74) be granted in part and denied in part, and that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 76) be granted in part and denied in part. *See* Dkt. 118.

On September 28, 2021, all parties filed their Objections. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made." After conducting this de novo review, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).

The Court has carefully considered the Objections; the Memorandum and

Recommendation; the pleadings; and the record. The Court ACCEPTS Judge Edison's

Memorandum and Recommendation and ADOPTS it as the opinion of the Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that:

(1) Judge Edison's Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 118) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court;

and

(2) Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 76) is **GRANTED** in

part and **DENIED** in part; and

(3) Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt 74) is **GRANTED** in part

and **DENIED** in part.

Specifically, Ostrewich's challenge to section 61.010 of the Texas Election Code

is denied, and her request for nominal damages is denied. Moreover, sections 61.003 and

85.036 are struck down as unconstitutional infringements on the First Amendment right

to free speech.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 30th day of September, 2021.

GEORGE C. HANKS, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2